

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pateut and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/390,051	09/03/1999	GEOGGREY S.M. HEDRICK	3190-31	6250	
7.	590 10/01/2002				
JEFFREY M. NAVON, ESQ. COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE 551 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 1210			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, FRANCIS N		
NEW YORK, 1	NY 10176		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2674		
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002	DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Examiner	·····		P1				
Examiner FRANCIS NGUVEN 2874  — The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1960, in no event, however, may a reply te limely filed after 50 K, 06 MONTHs from the maining date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum visitation period will expire SIX (6) MONTHs from the maining date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum visitation period will expire SIX (6) MONTHs from the maining date of the communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum visitation period will expire SIX (6) MONTHs from the maining date of the communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum visitation period will expire SIX (6) MONTHs from the maining date of the communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum visitation period will expire SIX (6) MONTHs from the maining date of the communication.  Fallows for exply will the set of centimed period for reply wills by statule, such that the maining date of these communication.  Fallows for exply will be set of the communication.  If SIX Responsive to communication(s) filed on @3 July 2002.  2a) SIX This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 13-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  I		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
FRANCIS NGUYEN  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of mer may be available under the provision of 32 FR 1.18(6), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after \$0.6(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 32 FR 1.18(6), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after \$0.6(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the provision of the communication of the provision of Claims  4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 13-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1-10 and 13-21 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-10 1-3-21 is/are pending in the application requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to the text of the traving(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Friority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  2. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		09/390,051	HEDRICK, GEOGGREY S.M.				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edensions of them may be swillable under the proteins of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely find after 51% (6) MONTH(S) from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified done is loss than thin (93) days, a reply which the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days with the control of the protein of the pro	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15(o), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed without St. (MONTH'S from the mailing date of the communication with St. (MONTH'S from the mailing date of the communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period valid pappy and valid expire SX. (MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  Pallure to reply within the set created period for reply will, by statutor, cause the application to become ABANDONE (0.5 U.S. 13.3).  Any reply received by the Office later than three menths after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any summarized to the specific state than the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any summarized to the specific state than the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any summarized to the specific state than the specific state than the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any summarized to the specific state than the specific state than the specific state than the specific state than the second state than specific state.  2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-10 and 13-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) □ Claim(s) 1-10 13-21 is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1-10 13-22 is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1-10 13-22 is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) 1-10 13-22 is/are allowed.  9) □ The drawing(s) filed on 1-10 is/are allowed.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 1-10 is/are.  110 □ The proposed drawing correction filed o							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be variable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after Six (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period or reply weithin the self or reply within the satitory minimum of thinty (20) days, a reply within the satitory minimum of thinty (20) days, a reply within the satitory minimum of thinty (20) days, a reply within the satitory minimum of thinty (20) days, a reply within the satitory minimum of thinty (20) days, a reply within the satitory minimum of thinty (20) days, a reply within the satitory minimum of thinty (20) days, a reply within the satitory minimum of thinty (20) days, a reply within the satitory minimum of thinty (20) days, a reply within the satitory minimum of thinty (20) days, a reply within the satitory minimum of thinty (20) days, a reply within the satitory minimum of thinty (20) days, and the satitory minimum of the		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 and 13-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10, 13-21 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
3]  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 ○.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-10 and 13-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-10, 13-21 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 J	<u>uly 2002</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 and 13-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10, 13-21 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies on received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		<b>, ,,</b> ,					
5	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 13-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
6)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)   approved b)   disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10, 13-21</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	,						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	, <u> </u>						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ul>	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</li> <li>The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ol>							
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ul>							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ul>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)		,,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal F					

Art Unit: 2674

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Reconsideration

1. The request for reconsideration filed on 7/03/2002 is entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hoffman et al. (U.S. Patent 5,453,939).

As to claim 19, Hoffman et al. discloses a color flat panel display for displaying simulated instruments and associated parameters and indicia for indicating integrity of display data, (note that Hoffman et al. does teach a monitoring system applied to a vehicle, also see Abstract, first sentence; this should read on the claimed aircraft monitoring system and related flight instruments).

comprising:

a display screen on which at least one of the simulated aircraft instruments and said aircraft parameters are displayed in a first color (instrument 10 comprising indicator lights and electronic gauges, column 4, lines 56-61) and said indicia are normally displayed in a single,

Art Unit: 2674

predetermined, unchanging second color different from said first color (column 7, lines 23-30)

Page 3

such that any color change in said indicia from said second color as a result of a change in

indicia data fed to the display screen visually indicates reduced operating integrity of the display

data and thereby visually alerts the crew to a possible problem with the displayed aircraft system

parameters (column 7, lines 45-67, also column 8, lines 43-58, column 11, lines 1-7, figures 8e

and 9b). The ground of rejection is maintained.

As to claims 20-21, note the same citation for claim 19. Hoffman et al. further discloses said

indicia defining a border of at least one of the simulated aircraft instruments displayed on said

flat panel display (outline segments, column 8, lines 48-52), discloses said indicia defining a

pointer of at least one of the simulated aircraft instruments displayed on said flat panel display (

one of symbols 26 is illuminated, column 8, lines 63-67). The ground of rejection is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10, 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoffman et

al.

Art Unit: 2674

As to claim 1, Hoffman et al. discloses a flat panel display system for displaying data relating to system parameters from corresponding instruments to a vehicle, comprising

a flat display for visually display the vehicle system parameters, and for displaying indicia that said data is being received related to vehicle system parameters from corresponding instruments (instrument 10 comprising indicator lights and electronic gauges, column 4, lines 56-61);

a first central processor for receiving said data from the vehicle instruments ( electronic control a shown in figure 3 )

a first graphics generator operatively coupled to the first central processor for generating a first set of color data (figures 5 and 6 provide display of service mode and status mode, column 8, lines 59-64, column 9, lines 5-12, column 13, lines 40-46),

wherein during abnormal condition, the indicia on the flat panel display change colors (column 7, lines 45-67, also column 8, lines 43-58, column 11, lines 1-7, figures 8e and 9b).

Note that Hoffman et al discloses vehicle instrument but fails to disclose expressly aircraft instruments; however, the examiner notes that the method of display is exactly the same equivalent for a vehicle such as an aircraft. Note also that Hoffman et al., teach a monitoring system with several sets of color data (column 5, lines 29-36), different data processing stages (electronic control, column 5, lines 51-65, this corresponds to the claimed second processor; therefore, more than one graphic generator per color is inherent. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the mechanism of display in a vehicle taught by Hoffman et al. to the aircraft system application, since both applications provide a visual alert to an operator/user, as to data validity/hardware

Application/Control Number: 09/390,051 Page 5

Art Unit: 2674

health status to obtain the apparatus /associated method Hoffman et al. modified, because it would provide real-time health status of critical data an operator is monitoring; thus system reliability is obtained. The ground of rejection is maintained as to response to argument presented below.

As to claims 2-12, note the same citations for claim 1. The ground of rejection is maintained.

As to claim 13, Hoffman et al. fails to teach a third processor for receiving data and for interrogating on a statistical basis to build a database. Note however that a flight recorder typically provides critical data for maintenance and diagnostic purpose. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the system and associated method taught by Hoffman et al. modified, then provide a flight recorder for building a data base for maintenance and diagnostic purpose, because it would result in continuous improvement of system reliability. The ground of rejection is maintained.

As to claims 14-18, note the same citations for claim 13. The ground of rejection is maintained.

#### Response to Arguments

4. The arguments filed on 7/03/2002 have been considered but are persuasive because Applicant's argument as to cited art Hoffman et al. failing to teach fault in the integrity of the display is not valid because that limitation is currently not in the claim language of claims 19/21.

Application/Control Number: 09/390,051 Page 6

Art Unit: 2674

Applicant's argument as to cited art Hoffman et al. failing to teach indicia changing color is not valid because Hoffman et al. teaches color changing ( see column 7, lines 45-67, also column 8, lines 43-58, column 11, lines 1-7, figures 8e and 9b).

Due to lack of claim breadth, the ground of rejection is maintained.

#### **CONCLUSION**

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **FRANCIS N NGUYEN** whose telephone number is **703 308-8858**. The examiner can normally be reached during hours 8:00 AM- 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **RICHARD A HJERPE** can be reached at 703 305-4579.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2674

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

September 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2002

FRANCIS N NGUYEN Examiner

Art Unit 2674

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1.00